3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W. P. NO. 3034 OF 2006 SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER : Ravindra Nath Choube, S/o late Hariram Choube, occupation Govt. servant, (Panchayat and Social Education organizer) posted at Pusour Janpad Panchayat, Distt. Raigarh, C.G.)

P.R. No. 3034/06
Presented by Shri Sanjeev Sharma
dated 26/6/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

(1) The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary Panchayat, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG).

(2) The Director Panchayat and Social Service, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

(3) The Deputy Director, Panchayat and Social Service, Raigarh, (C.G.).

(4) The Collector, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to BILASPUR
26/6/06

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSITITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

30

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No...3034/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
A	**28-6-2006:-** Shri Awadh Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents on advance copy. Heard. The main grievance raised by the petitioner by way of this writ petition is that he is working in the Panchayat Department on the post of Supervisor since 22-4-81 and as per the Career Promotion Scheme the petitioner is entitled for first promotion after completion of 12 years of service and thereafter second promotion after completion of 24 years of service if during this period he has not been promoted. The petitioner has completed 24 years of service but he has not been given the benefit of Career Promotion Scheme. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the Chief Deputy Director (Administration) vide his letter dated 19-12-2005 asked all the Joint Directors and Deputy Directors to send proposals regarding Career Promotion Scheme and the petitioner's case was recommended in the month of December 2005 itself and so far no order has been passed. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide representation to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, reasonable and fair, therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.2 within a period of 2 weeks and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide representation of the petitioner within a period of 2	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

31

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
E Barve	months from the date of receipt of representation considering the points raised by the petitioner, on its own merit, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No.6056/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

09.08.06

30.8.06